REMARKS

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action mailed September 5, 2006, requiring an election of the claimed invention between Species A, Species B, Species C, and Species D. The Examiner did not recite which claims correspond with each species. The Examiner has stated that Claim 1 is a generic claim. Applicants hereby elect the species embodied within Species A including Claims 1-2 and 4-14. Claim 3 is cancelled from further consideration without prejudice or disclaimer and is subject to the filing of a divisional application.

Applicants have now made an earnest effort to place this case in condition for examination and allowance. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application and allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Adam L. Stroud,

Reg. No. 48,410

Attorney

(408) 474-9064

September 27, 2006